PATENT COOPERATION 1...EATY

SEST AVAILABLE NOTIFI

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

Commissioner
US Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark
Office, PCT
2011 South Clark Place Room
CP2/5C24
Arlington, VA 22202
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 07 December 2000 (07.12.00)	ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE in its capacity as elected Office Applicant's or agent's file reference 655000038PPA		
International application No. PCT/US00/06808			
International filing date (day/month/year) 16 March 2000 (16.03.00)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 March 1999 (23.03.99)		
Applicant			
FROST, John, W. et al			

X in the demand filed with the	International Preliminary Examining Authority o	n:
	20 October 2000 (20.10.00)	
in a notice effecting later ele	ection filed with the International Bureau on:	
The election X was	•	
was not		
made before the expiration of 19 n Rule 32.2(b).	nonths from the priority date or, where Rule 32 a	pplies, within the time limit under
,		

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Charlotte ENGER

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

International application No. PCT/US00/06808

A. CLA	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
IPC(7) :C12P 7/00, 39/00					
US CL :435/132, 42 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
	·	n national classification and IPC			
	DS SEARCHED				
Minimum d	ocumentation searched (classification system follow	ed by classification symbols)			
U.S. :	435/132, 42				
Documentat	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the	e extent that such documents are included	in the fields searched		
Electronic d	lata base consulted during the international search (r	name of data base and, where practicable	e, search terms used)		
	rch terms: 642-96-6 (Registry No. for 1,2,3,4-tetra n, microorganism, yeast, review	nhydroxybenzene), inositol, inosose, del	nydrogenase, synthase,		
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	ppropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X, P	HANSEN et al. Synthesis of 1,2,3,4-7	Tetrahydroxybenzene from D-	1-10, 12, 14-23,		
	Glucose. Journal of the American Che		30-31		
Y, P	Vol. 121, pages 3799-3800, see entire				
		11,13,24-29, 32- 57			
Y	YOSHIDA et al. Organization and Tra Operon, iol, of Bacillus subtilis. Journ Vol. 179, No. 14, pages 4591-4598, s	11, 24-29, 32-33, 50-55			
Y	DEAN-JOHNSON et al. Biosynthesi Journal of Biological Chemistry. 15 Ja pages 1274-1283, see entire document	nuary 1989, Vol. 264, No. 2,	3-6, 15-18, 22-24, 29, 31, 33, 36-39, 48-50, 54-55		
X Furthe	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box (C. See patent family annex.			
Spec	cial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the inte	rnational filing date or priority		
"A" doce to b	ument defining the general state of the art which is not considered e of particular relevance	date and not in conflict with the appli the principle or theory underlying the	cation but cited to understand		
E earli	ier document published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be consider	claimed invention cannot be		
L docu	ument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	when the document is taken alone	eu to invoive an inventive step		
cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot					
'O" docu	ument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	considered to involve an inventive combined with one or more other such	documents, such combination		
P docu	ment published prior to the international filing date but later than priority date claimed	*&* document member of the same patent			
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report					
12 JUNE 3	2000	05 JUL 2000			
	ailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer			
Box PCT	er of Patents and Trademarks	$\Lambda \sim$			
_	D.C. 20231	KATHLEEN KERR			
Facsimile No	o. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703) 308-0196 \ /	_		

International application No.
PCT/US00/06808

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
4	WHITE et al. Inositol Metabolism in Yeasts. Advances in Microbial Physiology. London: Academic Press, 1991, Vol. 32, pages 1-51.	1-57
	•	

International application No. PCT/US00/06808

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
Please See Extra Sheet.
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. X As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International application No. PCT/US00/06808

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION WAS LACKING

This ISA found multiple inventions as follows:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Group I, claims 1-33, drawn to methods for the production of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene.

Group II, claims 34-57, drawn to methods for the production of 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of Group I is the methods for producing 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene via myo-inositol and myo-2-inosose in recombinant microbes. This special technical feature does not relate to the same inventive concept as the methods for producing a distinct compound, namely 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene, although some of the microbes used can be identical between the two inventive concepts.

Although the Examiner finds a lack of unity between the two Groups, the Examiner will examine both Groups in the search report because the search for each of the Groups is somewhat overlapping. Thus, the invention of the methods for producing 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene and the invention of the methods for producing 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene have been searched. The Examiner reserves the right to uphold this lack of unity in the Chapter 2 phase of this PCT case.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REOR	03	AUG	2001
L			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT-

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 655000038PPA	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.	International filing date (day/n	nonth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US00/06808	16 MARCH 2000	23 MARCH 1999		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): C12P 7/00, 39/00 and US Cl.: 435/132, 42				
Applicant BOARD OF TRUSTEES OPERATING	MICHIGAN STATE UNIVER	SITY		
2. This REPORT consists of a t This report is also accomp been amended and are the	transmitted to the applicant a storal of sheets. banied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets basis for this report and/or she	ts of the description, claims and/or drawings which have		
(see Rule 70.16 and Secti These annexes consist of a tot	ion 607 of the Administrative I	nstructions under the PCT).		
3. This report contains indications	s relating to the following ite	ms:		
I X Basis of the report	t			
II Priority				
III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability				
IV X Lack of unity of invention				
V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documents cited				
VII X Certain defects in the	e international application			
VIII X Certain observations	on the international applicatio	n ·		
Date of submission of the demand	Date o	f completion of this report		
20 OCTOBER 2000	. 10	JULY 2001		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US	, ,	ized officer		
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 KATHLEEN KERR PARALEGAL SPECIALIST				
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telepho			

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998) *

I. I	Basis	of the report			
1. Wi	th rega	ard to the elements of the inter	national applica	tion: *	
x	٠.	international application a			
	-	description:			
X	1	ges1-23			as originally filed
		es NONE			, as originally filed, filed with the demand
		es NONE		, filed with the letter of	, med with the definance
	,				
X		claims:			
		es 24-29		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, as originally filed
		es NONE NONE			h any statement) under Article 19
				with the letter of	, filed with the demand
	Pag		, Illed \	with the letter of	
X	the	drawings:			
L <u>:</u>		es <u>1-4</u>			, as originally filed
					, filed with the demand
				, filed with the letter of	,
_					
X		sequence listing part of the	-		
					, as originally filed
		es NONE			, filed with the demand
	pag	es NONE		, filed with the letter of	
the	interrese election the l	national application was filed, ements were available or furni language of a translation f language of publication of anguage of the translation fur	unless otherwished to this Au urnished for t	ise indicated under this item. thority in the following language _ the purposes of international se onal application (under Rule 48	
3. Wi	th reg elimin	ard to any nucleotide and/ eary examination was carrie	or amino acid d out on the b	sequence disclosed in the internossis of the sequence listing:	ational application, the international
	cont	ained in the international	application in	printed form	
				tion in computer readable form	
片				•	•
닏		ished subsequently to this			
	furni	ished subsequently to this	Authority in	computer readable form.	
	The inter	statement that the subseque national application as filed	ntly furnished has been furr	written sequence listing does no nished.	t go beyond the disclosure in the
	The s	statement that the information furnished.	n recorded in c	omputer readable form is identical	to the writen sequence listing has
4 X	The	amendments have resulted	l in the cance	llation of:	
	\mathbf{x}		NONE	·	
		the description, pages			
		the claims, Nos.	NONE		
	[X]	the drawings, sheets/fig	NONE		
5.	This	report has been drawn as if (some of) the ar	nendments had not been made, sin	ce they have been considered to go
* n	beyo	and the disclosure as filed, as	indicated in the	e Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c))	**
+ Kepl in th	aceme is rep	ni sneeis which have been furni ort as "originally filed" and	shed to the rece are not annexe	eving Office in response to an invita ed to this report since they do not	tion under Article 14 are referred to contain amendments (Rules 70.16
ana	70.17). cement sheet containing such			

International application No.

IV. Lack of unity of invention	
1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:	
restricted the claims.	
paid additional fees.	
paid additional fees under protest.	
neither restricted nor paid additional fees.	
2. X This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Ru not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.	68.1,
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is	
complied with.	
X not complied with for the following reasons:	
Group I, claims 1-33, drawn to methods for the production of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene.	
Group II, claims 34-57, drawn to methods for the production of 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene.	
The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:	
The special technical feature of Group I is the methods for producing 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene via myo-inositol and myo-2-inosose in recombinant microbes. This special technical feature does not relate to the same inventive concept as the methods for producing a distinct compound, namely 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene, although some of the microbes used can be identical between the two inventive concepts.	
Although the Examiner finds a lack of unity between the two Groups, the Examiner will examine both Groups because the search for each of the Groups is somewhat overlapping. Thus, the invention of the methods for producing 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene and the invention of the methods for producing 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene have been searched.	
4. Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:	
X all parts.	
the parts relating to claims Nos	

1

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

Novelty (N)			
Novelty (N)	.		
	Claims Claims	1-57 NONE	YES
I(IC)			
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-57 NONE	YES
		110112	
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-57	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO
NEW CITATIONSNONE	·		

International application No.

VII.	Certain	defects	in the	international	application
V 11.	CCITAIII	ucicus	m me	mucriational	amoncamon

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:
Claim 13 is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof. The term "1,2,3,-tetrahydroxybenzene" is a typographical error; the correct term is1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene
Claims 21, 22, 25, 30, 31, 47, 48, and 51 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof. The instant claims use the phrase "encoding for"; the correct term in the art isencodingwithout using the preposition "for".

International application No.

PCT/US00/06808

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The description is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 5 because it fails to contain an adequate enablement and written description of Claims 1, 20, 34, and 46. The description is inadequate for the following reasons.

The instant description describes methods of making 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene and its derivative, 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene, using microbes to produce myo-inosose. Said microbes must contain a gene encoding a myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase and an inositol dehydrogenase, as described in Figure 2 of the instant specification, to convert the starting material, a carbon source, into myo-inosose which is then subjected to catalytic dehydration, optionally coupled to a reduction step. The instant description does not describe or enable the instant methods using microbes which do not contain genes encoding myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase and inositol dehydrogenase. Clearly, the instant claims intend to claim such breadth as understood by the dependent claims 2, 7, 21, 35, 40, and 47, which otherwise would not further limit the parent claims.

Claims 1, 20, 34, and 46 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because practice of the claimed invention is not adequately described in writing, as required under PCT Rule 5.1(a)(iii), for the reasons set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph. Claims 1, 20, 34, and 46 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because practice of the claimed invention is not enabled as required under PCT Rule 5.1(a) for the reasons set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph.

Claims 1-3. 7, 10, 11, 14, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26, 30, 34, 35, 40, 46-48, 51, and 52 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because the claims are indefinite for the following reason(s):

Claims 1, 14, 20, 30, 34, and 46 are indefinite because said claims claim methods of producing derivatives of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene while the method only produces 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroxybenzene, not its derivatives as well. The term "and derivatives thereof" must be deleted or additional method steps must be added to the instant claims.

Claims 2, 10, 14, 21, 30, 35, and 47 are indefinite because of the term "comprises the gene[s]"; "comprises" is not the appropriate term of the art since expression of the genes is required, as described in the instant description. (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

International application No.

PCT/US00/06808

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

VIII. CERTAIN OBSERVATIONS ON THE APPLICATION (Continued):

Thus, in the instant claims "comprising" must be replaced with ---expressing---.

Claims 2, 10, 14, 21, 30, 35, and 47 are indefinite because they refer to a generic enzyme names, "myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase" or "inositol dehydrogenase", with the article "the" indicating a single gene. In the instant claims, "the gene" must be replaced with ---a gene---.

Claims 3, 11, 22, 26, 48, and 52 are indefinite because they refer to specific gene names, "S. cerevisiae INO1 gene" or "Bacillus subtilis iolG gene", with the article "a" indicating multiple genes. In the instant claims, "a gene" must be replaced with ---the gene---.

Claims 7, 14, and 40 are indefinite for the improper use of the term "activity". In the art, the enzyme name indicates activity so the use of this term is redundant. In the instant claims, the term "activity" must be deleted.

Claims 25 and 51 are indefinite for the use of the term "an iolG gene". The article "an" and the further dependent claims limiting the instant gene to the Bacillus subtilis gene indicates that more than one iolG gene is indicated in the instant claims. However, neither the description nor the art indicate an iolG gene in an organism other than Bacillus subtilis. Appropriate information must be set forth or the instant claims must be cancelled.